# National Research Survey Programme

### Lakes 2020

### Lough Easky

IFI/2021/1-4549





Iascach Intíre Éireann Inland Fisheries Ireland



#### Inland Fisheries Ireland

National Research Survey Programme

### Fish Stock Survey of Lough Easky, September 2020

William Corcoran, Lynda Connor, Paul McLoone, Anne Bateman, Daniel Cierpial, Áine Gavin, Paul Gordon, Elliot McCarthy, Dylan Putthaaree, Ciaran Twomey, Ronan Matson, Stephen Robson, Paul Duffy, Kieran Rocks, Róisín Donovan, Danielle Crowley and Fiona L. Kelly.

Inland Fisheries Ireland, 3044 Lake Drive, Citywest Business Campus, Dublin 24.

CITATION: Corcoran, W., Connor, L., McLoone, P., Bateman, A., Cierpial, D., Gavin, A., Gordon, P., McCarthy, E., Putthaaree, D., Twomey, C., Matson, R., Robson, S., Duffy, P., Rocks, K., Donovan, R., Crowley, D., and Kelly, F.L. (2021) Fish Stock Survey of Lough Easky, September 2020. National Research Survey Programme, Inland Fisheries Ireland, 3044 Lake Drive, Citywest Business Campus, Dublin 24.

Cover photo: Netting survey on Lough Talt © Inland Fisheries Ireland



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge the help and co-operation of all their colleagues in Inland Fisheries Ireland.

The authors would also like to acknowledge the funding provided for the project from the Department of Communications, Climate Action and Environment for 2020.

*The report includes Ordnance Survey Ireland data reproduced under OSi Copyright Permit No. MP 007508.* 

Unauthorised reproduction infringes Ordnance Survey Ireland and Government of Ireland copyright. © Ordnance Survey Ireland, 2020.



#### **1.1 Introduction**

Lough Easky is located in the Ox Mountains, south of Dromore West, Co. Sligo (Plate 1.1 and Fig. 1.1). The lake has a surface area of 119ha, a mean depth of 3m and a maximum depth of 13m. The lake is categorised as typology class 2 (as designated by the EPA for the Water Framework Directive), i.e. shallow (mean depth <4m), greater than 50ha and low alkalinity (<20mg/l CaCO<sub>3</sub>).

Lough Easky forms part of the Ox Mountain Bogs Special Area of Conservation. Several oligotrophic lakes occur within the SAC, the largest of which is Lough Easky. Lough Easky is a stony-bottomed lake which supports aquatic vegetation typical of such oligotrophic lakes e.g. shoreweed (*Littorella uniflora*), quillwort (*Isoetes* sp.), bulbous rush (*Juncus bulbosus*), water lobelia (*Lobelia dortmanna*), common spike-rush (*Eleocharis palustris*), water horsetail (*Equisetum fluviatile*), sharp-flowered rush (*Juncus acutiflorus*) and bog pondweed (*Potamogeton polygonifolius*) (NPWS, 1997). Lough Easky historically contained brown trout, sea trout, salmon and Arctic char (O' Reilly, 1998).

In the first half of 2008 a small landslide was observed on the eastern shore of the lake due to high levels of rainfall. It resulted in the accumulation of silt and debris on the shore of the lake (Collins, P. *pers. comm*.).

The lake was surveyed to assess its fish stocks in 1986 and 1991 by Inland Fisheries Ireland (previously the Central Fisheries Board and the North Western Regional Fisheries Board) (IFI, unpublished data). Brown trout was the dominant fish species recorded during both surveys; however, Arctic char were recorded in the latter survey (IFI, unpublished data). A survey in 2004 on Lough Easky, carried out by the Irish Char Conservation Group, found no record of Arctic char in the lake even though the species was recorded in the 1991 survey (Neylon, *pers. comm.*).

Lough Easky was also previously surveyed in 2008, 2011, 2014 and 2017 as part of the Water Framework Directive surveillance monitoring programme (Kelly *et al.*, 2009, 2012a, 2015a, 2015b and Connor *et al* 2018). During the 2017 survey, brown trout were found to be the dominant species present in the lake. Eels and salmon were also captured during the survey.

This report summarises the results of the 2020 fish stock survey carried out on the lake, as part of the Water Framework Directive surveillance monitoring programme.





Plate 1.1. Lough Easky



Fig. 1.1 Location map of Lough Easky showing locations and depths of each net (outflow is indicated on map)



#### 1.2 Methods

#### 1.2.1 Netting methods

Lough Easky was surveyed over two nights from the 8<sup>th</sup> to the 10<sup>th</sup> of September 2020. A total of three sets of Dutch fyke nets, 12 benthic monofilament multi-mesh (BM CEN) (12 panel, 5-55mm mesh size) CEN standard survey gill nets (5 @ 0-2.9m, 3 @ 3-5.9m and 4 @ 6-11.9m) and two floating monofilament multi-mesh (FM CEN) (12 panel, 5-55mm mesh size) CEN standard survey gill nets were deployed in the lake (17 sites) (Fig. 1.1). Nets were deployed in the same locations as were randomly selected in the previous survey. A handheld GPS was used to mark the precise location of each net. The angle of each gill net in relation to the shoreline was randomised.

All fish were measured and weighed on site and scales were removed from all brown trout and salmon. Live fish were returned to the water whenever possible (i.e. when the likelihood of their survival was considered to be good). Samples of fish were retained for further analysis. Fish were frozen immediately after the survey and transported back to the IFI laboratory for later dissection.

#### 1.2.2 Fish diet

Total stomach contents were inspected and individual items were counted and identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible. The percentage frequency occurrence (%FO) of prey items were then calculated to identify key prey items (Amundsen *et al.*, 1996).

$$\mathrm{FO}_i = \left(\frac{N_i}{N}\right) * 100$$

Where:

 $FO_i$  is the percentage frequency of prey item i,  $N_i$  is the number of fish with prey i in their stomach,

**N** is total number of fish with stomach contents

#### 1.2.3 Biosecurity - disinfection and decontamination procedures

Procedures are required for disinfection of equipment in order to prevent dispersal of alien species and other organisms to uninfected waters. A standard operating procedure was compiled by Inland Fisheries Ireland for this purpose (Caffrey, 2010) and is followed by staff in IFI when moving between water bodies.



#### 1.3 Results

#### 1.3.1 Species Richness

A total of three fish species were recorded on Lough Easky in September 2020. with a total of 229 fish were captured. The number of each species captured by each gear type is shown in Table 1.1. Brown trout was the most common fish species recorded, salmon and eels were also recorded during the survey. During the previous surveys in 2008, 2011, 2014 and 2017 the same species composition was recorded, with the exception of salmon which were not recorded in the 2008 survey (Kelly *et al.*, 2009, 2012a, 2015a, 2015b and Connor *et al* 2018).

Table 1.1. Number of each fish species captured by each gear type during the survey on Lough Easky,September 2020

Scientific name	Common name	Number of fish captured			
		BM CEN	FM CEN	Fyke	Total
Salmo trutta	Brown trout	187	18	15	220
Salmo salar	Salmon	1	0	0	1
Anguilla anguilla	European eel	0	0	8	8

#### 1.3.2 Fish abundance

Fish abundance (mean CPUE) and biomass (mean BPUE) were calculated as the mean number/weight of fish caught per metre of net. For all fish species except eel, CPUE/BPUE is based on all survey nets, whereas eel CPUE/BPUE is based on fyke nets only. Mean CPUE and BPUE for all fish species captured in the 2008, 2011, 2014 and 2017 surveys are summarised in Table 1.2 and illustrated in Figures 1.2 and 1.3.

#### Brown trout

Brown trout was the dominant species in terms of abundance (CPUE) and biomass (BPUE). This species recorded the highest CPUE and BPUE in all surveys between 2008 and 2020 and has remained relatively stable during all surveys (Table 1.2; Figs. 1.2 and 1.3).



## Table 1.2. Mean (S.E.) CPUE and BPUE for all fish species captured on Lough Easky, 2008, 2011, 2014,2017 and 2020

Scientific name	Common name	2008	2011	2014	2017	2020
		Mean CPUE (±S.E.)				
Salmo trutta	Brown trout	0.274 (0.07)	0.296 (0.082)	0.528 (0.127)	0.487 (0.109)	0.417 (117)
Salmo salar	Salmon	-	0.002 (0.002)	0.005 (0.003)	0.002 (0.002)	0.002 (0.002)
Anguilla anguilla	European eel*	0.066 (0.034)	0.083 (0.033)	0.044 (0.005)	0.039 (0.024)	0.044 (0.015)
		Mean BPUE (±S.E.)				
Salmo trutta	Brown trout	16.411 (4.341)	24.506 (6.892)	33.428 (8.391)	30.472 (6.948)	28.258 (7.802)
Salmo salar	Salmon	-	0.018 (0.018)	0.132 (0.073)	0.013 (0.013)	2.941 (2.941)
Anguilla anguilla	European eel*	27.016 (16.768)	14.179 (3.531)	8.675 (3.756)	9.304 (6.316)	12.522 (8.781)

Note: On the rare occasion where biomass data was unavailable for an individual fish, this was determined from a length/weight regression for that species (Connor *et. al.*, 2017)

\*Eel CPUE and BPUE based on fyke nets only




Fig. 1.2. Mean (±S.E.) CPUE for all fish species captured in Lough Easky (Eel CPUE based on fyke nets only), 2008, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2020.




Fig. 1.3. Mean (±S.E.) BPUE for all fish species captured in Lough Easky (Eel BPUE based on fyke nets only), 2008, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2020.



#### 1.3.3 Length frequency distributions and growth

#### **Brown trout**

Brown trout captured during the 2020 survey ranged in length from 7.7cm to 23.6cm (mean = 16.9cm) (Fig. 1.4). Five age classes were present, ranging from 0+ to 4+. The dominant age class was 1+. Mean length of one year old brown trout (L1) was estimated at 7.0cm. Mean brown trout L4 in 2020 was 18.6cm, indicating a very slow rate of growth for brown trout in this lake, according to the classification scheme of Kennedy and Fitzmaurice (1971) (Table 1.3). Brown trout captured during the previous surveys had similar length and age ranges (Fig.1.4).




Fig. 1.4. Length frequency of brown trout captured on Lough Easky, 2008, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2020



	Lı	L2	L3	L4	Growth Rate
Mean (±S.E.)	7.0 (0.3)	13.8 (0.5)	17.9(0.9)	18.6	Very slow
N	46	26	5	1	
Range	3.6-11.3	7.7-18.2	14.4-20.7	18.6	

#### Table 1.3. Mean (±S.E.) brown trout length (cm) at age for Lough Easky, September 2020

#### Other fish species

Eels captured during the 2020 survey ranged in length from 38.0cm to 79.5cm. One adult salmon was captured and measured 50.4cm.

#### 1.3.4 Stomach and diet analysis

The stomach contents of a subsample of brown trout captured during the survey were examined and are presented below.

#### Brown trout

Adult trout usually feed principally on crustaceans (*Asellus* sp. and *Gammarus* sp.), insects (principally chironomid larvae and pupae) and molluscs (snails) (Kennedy and Fitzmaurice, 1971, O'Grady, 1981). A total of 80 stomachs were examined. Of these 56 were found to contain no prey items. Of the remaining 24 stomachs containing food, 10 (41.7%) brown trout stomachs contained fish. Seven (29.2%) contained only fish, while 3 (12.5 %) contained both fish and invertebrates. Six stomachs (25%) contained only invertebrates, while both invertebrates and zooplankton were recorded in six 25% stomachs. Zooplankton was the only prey item recorded in 2 (8.3%) brown trout stomachs (Fig. 1.5).




Fig 1.5. Diet of brown trout (n=24) captured on Lough Easky, 2020 (% FO)



#### 1.4 Summary and ecological status

A total of three fish species were recorded in Lough Easky in September 2020. Brown trout was the dominant species in terms of abundance (CPUE) and biomass (BPUE) captured in the survey gill nets during the 2020 survey. The mean brown trout CPUE and BPUE were similar to previous surveys carried out on Lough Easky. Brown trout ranged in age from 0+ to 4+, indicating reproductive success in the previous five years. The dominant age class was 2+. Length at age analyses revealed that brown trout in the lake exhibit a very slow rate of growth according to the classification scheme of Kennedy and Fitzmaurice (1971).

Classification and assigning lakes with an ecological status is a critical part of the WFD monitoring programme. It allows River Basin District managers to identify and prioritise lakes that currently fall short of the minimum "Good Ecological Status" that is required if Ireland is not to incur penalties. A multimetric fish ecological classification tool (Fish in Lakes – 'FIL') was developed for the island of Ireland (Ecoregion 17) using IFI and Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute Northern Ireland (AFBINI) data generated during the NSSHARE Fish in Lakes project (Kelly *et al.*, 2008). This tool was further developed during 2010 (FIL2) to make it fully WFD compliant, including producing EQR values for each lake and associated confidence in classification (Kelly *et al.*, 2012b).

Using the FIL2 classification tool, Lough Easky has been assigned an ecological status of Good for 2020 based on the fish populations present. In previous years the lake was assigned a fish status of High in 2008 and Good in 2011, 2014 and 2017.

In the 2013 to 2018 surveillance monitoring reporting period, the EPA assigned Lough Easky an overall ecological status of Good.






Fig. 1.6. Fish ecological status of Lough Easky, 2008, 2011, 2014, 2017 and 2020



#### **1.5 References**

- Amundsen, P.A., Gabler H.M., Staldvik F.J. (1996) A new approach to graphical analysis of feeding strategy from stomach contents data—modification of the Costello (1990) method. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **48**, 607–614.
- Caffrey, J. (2010) IFI Biosecurity Protocol for Field Survey Work. Inland Fisheries Ireland.
- Connor, L., Matson R. and Kelly F.L. (2017) Length-weight relationships for common freshwater fish species in Irish lakes and rivers. *Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, **117 (2)**, 65-75.
- Connor, L., Coyne, J., Corcoran, W., Cierpial, D., Ni Dhonnaibhain L., Delanty, K., McLoone, P., Morrissey,
  E., Gordon, P., O' Briain, R., Matson, R., Rocks, K., O' Reilly, S., Brett A., Garland D. and Kelly, F.L.
  (2018) *Fish Stock Survey of Lough Easky, August 2017*. National Research Survey Programme,
  Inland Fisheries Ireland, 3044 Lake Drive, Citywest Business Campus, Dublin 24.
- Kelly, F.L., Harrison, A., Connor, L., Allen, M., Rosell, R. and Champ, T. (2008) *FISH IN LAKES Task 6.9: Classification tool for Fish in Lakes. FINAL REPORT.* Central Fisheries Board, NS Share project.
- Kelly, F.L., Connor, L., Wightman, G., Matson, R. Morrissey, E., O'Callaghan, R., Feeney, R., Hanna, G. and Rocks, K. (2009) Sampling Fish for the Water Framework Directive – Summary report 2008. Central and Regional Fisheries Boards report.
- Kelly, F.L., Connor, L., Morrissey, E., Wogerbauer, C., Matson, R., Feeney, R. and Rocks, K. (2012a) *Water Framework Directive Fish Stock Survey of Lough Easky, September 2011*. Inland Fisheries Ireland.
- Kelly, F.L., Harrison, A.J., Allen, M., Connor, L. and Rosell, R. (2012b) Development and application of an ecological classification tool for fish in lakes in Ireland. *Ecological Indicators*, **18**, 608-619.
- Kelly, F.L., Connor, L., Morrissey, E., Coyne, J., Feeney, R., Matson, R. and Rocks, K. and Rocks, K. (2015a) Sampling Fish for the Water Framework Directive – Summary Report 2014. Inland Fisheries Ireland.
- Kelly, F.L., Connor, L., Morrissey, E., Coyne, J., Feeney, R., Matson, R. and Rocks, K. (2015b) *Water Framework Directive Fish Stock Survey of Lough Easky, September 2014*. Inland Fisheries Ireland.
- Kennedy, M. and Fitzmaurice, P. (1971) Growth and food of brown trout *Salmo trutta* (L.) in Irish Waters. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, **71 (B) (18)**, 269-352.



- NPWS (1997) *Site synopsis: Lough Hoe Bog cSAC. Site code: 000633*. National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Plan for 2005-2010.
- O' Grady, M.F. (1981) A Study of Brown Trout (Salmo trutta L.) Populations in Selected Irish Lakes. Ph.D. Thesis, National University of Ireland.
- O' Reilly, P. (1998) *Loughs of Ireland, A Flyfisher's Guide 3<sup>rd</sup> edition.* UK. Merlin Unwin Books.

Inland Fisheries Ireland 3044 Lake Drive, Citywest Business Campus, Dublin 24, Ireland. D24 Y265

www.fisheriesireland.ie info@fisheriesireland.ie

+353 1 8842 600

